



PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEMS

Presented by: Mary Keysper Deputy Chief of Staff for Personnel (DCSPER)



TAPES





Requires written performance objectives.

Enhances communication between ratee and rater.

Assesses employee accomplishments throughout the rating period, resulting in an annual written performance evaluation.

Performance management linkage - rating impacts within-grade increases/quality step increases/performance awards/merit promotion.



ACQUISITION DEMONSTRATION PROJECT CONTRIBUTION-BASED COMPENSATION AND APPRAISAL SYSTEM (CCAS)



- Equitable and flexible method of appraising and compensating acquisition workforce.
- Measures contribution to the mission of the organization.
- Allows salary increases based on level of contribution to the organization



CONTRIBUTION-BASED COMPENSATION AND APPRAISAL SYSTEM (CCAS) CONTINUATION



- Requires written contribution objectives.
- Enhances communication between ratee and rater.
- Used to assess employee contributions throughout the rating period, resulting in an annual written contribution evaluation.
- Results in base pay increase and/or bonus.



SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T) DEMONSTRATION PROJECT



- Requires written performance objectives.
- Enhances communication between ratee and rater.
- Used to assess employee performance throughout the rating period, resulting in an annual written performance evaluation.
- Results in base pay increase and/or bonus.



HOW PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS ARE USED IN THE PM BOARD SELECTION PROCESS



- Last six performance evaluations are reviewed by the board.
- Rater and senior rater comments are the focus.
- Overall performance evaluation "rating" is not sole factor.
- Reviewed for measurable contributions/outputs, not how well an employee is contributing or performing.



ARE USED IN THE PM BOARD SELECTION PROCESS CONTINUATION



Avoid:

- ✓ Duplicative rater and senior rater comments year after year; these comments do not enhance an employee's rating and they do not help the panel make distinctions.
- ✓ Adjectives describing performance/contributions don't enhance the evaluation.
- ✓ Lengthy comments/evaluations are not giving the board what they need and slow down the review process; more is not necessarily better.



ARE USED IN THE PM BOARD SELECTION PROCESS CONTINUATION



Include:

- Comments that are personally written by the rater and senior rater which are straightforward and concise.
- >Specific comments for each rating period.
- Address potential and future possibilities for the employee.



HOW PERFORMANCE EVALUATIONS ARE USED IN THE PM BOARD SELECTION PROCESS CONTINUATION



Include:

- ▶ Personal comments regarding an employee's managerial and leadership qualities.
- Address employees as if multi-functional, not stovepiped.
- Terms that warfighter's understand; don't use CECOMeeze.